

**COMPLIANCE WITH THE DATA PROTECTION ACT 1998**

In accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998, the personal data provided on this form will be processed by EPSRC, and may be held on computerised database and/or manual files. Further details may be found in the **guidance notes**

# Standard Peer Review

EPSRC Reference:

Document Status:

## Applicant Details

Applicant		Organisation	
-----------	--	--------------	--

## Title of Research Project

## Review Information

Response Due Date		Reviewer Reference:	
-------------------	--	---------------------	--

## Quality and breadth of the Research to be Enabled

Primary criterion. Please comment on the quality and breadth of the engineering and physical sciences research that the requested equipment will enable, making reference to:

*(1) The novelty, timeliness and relevance to identified stakeholders including users; (2) The transformative aspects or potential outcomes that will be enabled; (3) The suitability of the proposed methodology and the appropriateness of the approach to achieving impact. (For multi-disciplinary proposals please state which aspects of the proposal you feel qualified to assess.)*

## Strategic Importance

Secondary major criterion. Please comment on the strength of the strategic case made in terms of both national and institutional needs, making reference to how the equipment sought:

(1) Meets national needs by establishing/maintaining a unique and/or world leading activity;

(2) Complements and enhances regional and/or national research capability, including relationships to the EPSRC equipment and research portfolio.

*Please comment on the appropriateness of: (3) The evidence of strong demand and community needs from a diverse and inclusive user base; (4) The proposed alternative approaches to how the research would be achieved should the equipment not be funded.*

## Sustainability

Secondary major criterion. Please comment on the appropriateness of the proposed plans towards making the equipment/facility sustainable. Consider how realistic the plans are for:

*(1) The recovery of running costs (maintenance, repairs, consumables, staff time) during and beyond the lifetime of the grant; (2) The appropriateness of the charging model; (3) The support and development of the specialist technical staff beyond the lifetime of the grant period; (4) Sustaining and evolving a diverse and inclusive user base beyond the lifetime of the grant and any "free-at-point-of-access" period.*

### **Applicant and Host Institution**

*Secondary criterion. Please comment on the applicants' ability to effectively operate the requested equipment making reference to: (1) The appropriateness of the track record of the applicant(s); (2) The balance of skills of the team, including collaborators; (3) Why the host institution provides the most appropriate location and how use of existing inventory is effectively complemented.*

### **Management of the Equipment**

*Secondary criterion. Please comment on the effectiveness of the proposed planning and management, making reference to: (1) The workplan and associated risks; (2) Plans for prioritising access and maximising usage of the equipment; (3) Data management and accessibility.*

### **Resources**

Secondary criterion. Please comment on whether the requested equipment and resources are appropriate and have been fully justified, making reference to:

*(1) The appropriateness and justification of costs for the equipment; (2) The appropriateness and justification of resource costs (staff, maintenance etc); (3) The appropriateness of any contributions from the host and any collaborators; (4) Any resources requested for activities to either expand the user base, increase impact, for public engagement or to support responsible innovation.*

### **Overall Assessment**

*Please summarise your view of this proposal*

*My judgement is that:*

- 1) This proposal is scientifically or technically flawed*
- 2) This proposal does not meet one or more of the assessment criteria*
- 3) This proposal meets all assessment criteria but with clear weaknesses*
- 4) This is a good proposal that meets all assessment criteria but with minor weaknesses*
- 5) This is a strong proposal that broadly meets all assessment criteria*
- 6) This is a very strong proposal that fully meets all assessment criteria*

*My confidence level in assessing this is:*

1	2	3	4	5	6
---	---	---	---	---	---

Low	Medium	High
-----	--------	------

**Reviewer Expertise**

*Please indicate your areas of expertise that are relevant to your assessment. Take care not to reveal your identity to the applicant.*

--