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Quality
Primary criterion. Please comment on the degree of research excellence of the proposal, making reference to: (1) The novelty, relationship to the context, timeliness and relevance to identified stakeholders; (2) The ambition, adventure, transformative aspects or potential outcomes; (3) The suitability of the proposed methodology and the appropriateness of the approach to achieving impact. (For multi-disciplinary proposals please state which aspects of the proposal you feel qualified to assess.)

Importance
Secondary major criterion. Comment on the national importance of the research. How it:
(1) Contributes to/helps maintain the health of other disciplines, contributes to addressing key UK societal challenges and/or contributes to future UK economic success and development of emerging industry(s);
(2) Meets national needs by establishing/maintaining a unique world leading activity;
(3) Complements other UK research funded in the area, including any relationship to the EPSRC portfolio.

Applicant and Partnerships (track record)
Secondary criterion. Please comment on the applicant's track record making reference to: (1) the ability to deliver the proposed project; (2) the suitability and appropriateness of the track record in relation to the career stage applied for.
Resources and Management
Please comment on the effectiveness of the proposed planning and management and on whether the requested resources are appropriate and have been fully justified, making reference to: (1) Any equipment requested, or the viability of the arrangements described to access equipment needed for this project, and particularly on any university or third-party contribution; (2) Any resources requested for activities to either increase impact, for public engagement or to support responsible innovation.

Fellowship Vision
Interview Primary criterion. Comment on the overall research vision and how: (1) the fellowship will enable the applicant to achieve their career aspirations; (2) the fellowship will make a strategic contribution to the broader research landscape.

Research Leadership
Interview Secondary Major criterion. Given the applicants' declared current career stage, please comment on their potential (and the expected timescale) for becoming an international research leader, making consideration of skills identified in the proposal. Please also make comment on any risk mitigation in place.

Communication and Ambassadorship
Secondary criterion. Please comment on the demonstrated awareness of the communication and ambassadorship skills required of an EPSRC fellow, and what plans have been put in place to achieve this.

Personal Development
Secondary criterion. You should comment on appropriateness of the career aspirations outlined in the application (relative to their career stage) taking into consideration the research environment. Also comment on the host organisation statement and suitability of the support offered.

Overall Assessment
Please summarise your view of this proposal

My judgement is that:
1) This proposal is scientifically or technically flawed
2) This proposal does not meet one or more of the assessment criteria
3) This proposal meets all assessment criteria but with clear weaknesses
4) This is a good proposal that meets all assessment criteria but with minor weaknesses
5) This is a strong proposal that broadly meets all assessment criteria

My confidence level in assessing this is:
6) This is a very strong proposal that fully meets all assessment criteria
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**Reviewer Expertise**

*Please indicate your areas of expertise that are relevant to your assessment. Take care not to reveal your identity to the applicant.*